



Paper No. 14

ROBERTA P. SAXON
SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP
25 METRO DRIVE, SUITE 700
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

COPY MAILED

OCT 28 2003

In re Application of
Mayer et al.
Application No. 09/716,016
Filed: November 16, 2000
Attorney Docket No. M-7125-2D US

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

This decision concerns the September 9, 2003 petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on April 7, 2003 for failure to timely submit a proper reply to the January 6, 2003 non-final Office Action.

The instant petition encloses an "Amendment A" and a double patenting terminal disclaimer in response to the January 6, 2003 non-final Office Action; encloses the \$1,300 petition fee and the \$110 filing fee for the terminal disclaimer; and states that the entire delay in filing a proper reply from the due date until the filing of a grantable §1.137(b) petition was unintentional. The petition is thus granted.

The petition indicates a correspondence address different from that in the USPTO record for this application, and is signed by a practitioner who does not appear to have a power of attorney for prosecuting this application before the USPTO. Consequently, the "Change of Attorney's Address in Application" signed by this practitioner and enclosed with the petition is not entered. While a courtesy copy of the decision is being sent to this practitioner, all future correspondence on this application will be mailed to the current attorney-of-record, at the current correspondence address-of-record, unless the USPTO is instructed otherwise.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 1700 for further examination.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 308-0763.

RC Tang
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

cc: Roger S. Sampson, Esq.
Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP
PO Box 778
Berkeley, CA 94704-6200

BEST AVAILABLE COPY